Rats, Rodenticides, and Regulations

Niamh Quinn, PhD Human-Wildlife Interactions Advisor University of California





We know more about polar bears than we do about commensal rodents! We are relying on an imperfect system to help us manage commensal rodents







Ideal scenaria





Still working on figuring out what is going on.....





Why so much activity?



Device neophobia or avoidance

Discovery of bait stations and entry to bait station is highly variable among sites but it can be significantly delayed.

Device neophobia or avoidance



 Burke, C.B et al. (2021) Use of rodenticide bait stations by commensal rodents at the urban-wildland interface: Insights for management to reduce non-target exposure.
Pest Management Science



Figuring out neophobia is key to success

Rats entered only 37-70% of the bait stations they visited

Burke, C.B et al. (accepter for publication)Use of rodenticide bait stations by commensal rodents at the urban-wildland interface: Insights for management to reduce non-target exposure. Pest Management Science

Rodenticide resistance in California





Horo Cojo Slough (15/08 DE-HUVERC)





Why study rodenticides?



Endangered Species

• San Joaquin kit fox







Endangered Species

Pacific fisher

Illegal applications



Mountain lion survived deadly California fires. Rat poison likely killed him, rangers say

BY JARED GILMOUR

🥑 f 🖾 🧖

APRIL 30, 2019 04:24 PM, UPDATED MAY 09, 2019 03:25 PM



Media attention: Exposure to charismatic megafauna

Wildlife Deaths From Rat Poison Remain A Concern As Rat Population Grows In California Cities



Kiawah Island's bobcat population drops below 10, town seeks rodenticide ban

by Tony Fortier-Bensen | Friday, July 17th 2020



AA

Should Massachusetts ban the use of poison for rodent control?

Read two views and vote in our online poll.

Updated April 23, 2020, 6:12 p.m.

🖂 🫉 🎽 🖶 🗩 26



NEWS > PROTECTING PARADISE

f 🎽 🕅

CROW: Results from remains of Harriet and M15's eaglet are in



Georgia

Photo by: Southwest Florida Eagle Cam

Anticoagulant Rodenticide Exposure and Toxicosis in Coyotes (Canis latrans) in the Denver Metropolitan Area

Sharon A. Poessel,^{1,5} Stev Resources, Utah State Unive

Wildlife Services, National W ³Colorado Parks and Wildlife 80525, USA; ⁴US Departmen Wildland Resources, Utah S author (email: sharpoes@gm

ABSTRACT: Anticoagulan widely used in urban an pests and are responsibl soning in many nontarge tested the livers of five o in the Denver Metropol US, for anticoagulant r livers were positive for values ranging from 95 one liver was positive for value of 885 ppb. Both of second-generation antice more potent and more lik poisoning than first-gene due to their accumulation the liver. We concluded t rodenticides may have ci least two of the five coyot in our study area are c rodenticides.

Key words: Brodifac poison, second-generatio

Anticoagulant rode extensively throughout control rodent popula Watt et al. 2005). These interrupting the norm: ting factors in the li commences, resulting ing (Eason and Spurr 2002). Second-general (e.g., brodifacoum and more notent than first

Ecotoxicology (2016) 25:1061-1071 DOI 10.1007/s10646-016-1662-6

OPLOS ONE Increase

owls (T with de

Andrew C. 1 France Mais

Acce © S₁

rode

high

lead

data

asse

pop

whe

Abs decl pose Bar (BC mer ond

Rodenticide incidents of exposure and adverse effects on





RESEARCH ARTICLE

Land use change and rodenticide exposure trump climate change as the biggest stressors to San Joaquin kit fox

Science of the Total Environment 609 (2017) 68-76











©ASPCA Animal Poison Control Center

Total Rodenticide Incidents, FGARs, SGARs, and Non ARs incidents reported to main and aggregate IDS from 2009 and 2018













Bloom AB 1788 California Ecosystems Protection Act of 2020



AB 1788

• This bill, the California Ecosystems Protection Act of 2020, would additionally prohibit the use of any second generation anticoagulant rodenticide, as defined, in this state until the director certifies to the Secretary of State that, among other things, the Department of Pesticide Regulation has completed a reevaluation of second generation anticoagulant rodenticides and the Department of Pesticide Regulation, in consultation with the Department of Fish and Wildlife, has adopted any additional restrictions necessary to ensure that continued use of second generation anticoagulant rodenticides is not reasonably expected to result in significant adverse effects to nontarget wildlife, as provided.

But....

NEWS





Rats have become a major Place, between Underhill a

California reports first human plague case in 5 years

By Rachael Rettner - Senior Writer 5 hours ago

The infected person is a resident of South Lake Tahoe.

🚹 💟 🚳 🖗 🔽 🖸 💻 Comments (0) <u>Of</u>

remove all carnets Jrine: NYC Health



ease commonly spread by contact with rat urine, ase, city health officials say. Checkey Beckford

(Image: C Shutterstock)

Lus rungeres Gity radii rid the downtown buil

reports. (Published Tuesday, Feb. 14, 2017) The State Worker

Battling rats at the CalEPA headquarters: poison, traps and hourly patrols

Association Between Allergen Exposure in Inner-City Schools and Asthma Morbidity Among Students

William J. Sheehan, MD; Perdita Permaul, MD; Carter R. Petty, MA; Brent A. Coull, PhD; Sachin N. Baxi, MD; Jonathan M. Gaffin, MD, MMsc; Peggy S. Lai, MD, MDH: Direc P. Cold, MD, MDH: Wards Directorshul, MD, MC

IMPORTANCE Home aeroallergen exposu children, yet little is known about the co morbidity.

OBJECTIVE To evaluate the effect of schu morbidity among students, adjus http:// VECTOR-BORNE AND ZOONOTIC DISEASES Volume 15, Number 1, 2015 © Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. DOI: 10.1089/vbz.2014.1657

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPA cohort study evaluating 284 stud 37 inner-city elementary schools in the n and August 31, 2013. Enrolled students u school year started and were then obser classroom and home dust samples linked common indoor aeroallergens. Associati asthma outcomes during the school year

EXPOSURES Indoor aeroallergens, incluc measured in dust samples collected fron

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES The pr weeks with asthma symptoms. Secondar asthma morbidity, including asthma-asso by forced expiratory volume in 1 second.

RESULTS Among 284 students (median and 136 girls), exposure to mouse allerge samples, cat allergen in 420 samples (94 Levels of mouse allergen in schools were settled dust level, 0.90 vs 0.14 µg/g; P < school (comparing 75th with 25th percer an asthma symptom day (odds ratio, 1.27 points lower predicted forced expiratory This effect was independent of allergic s were associated with worsening asthma

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE In this st mouse allergen in schools was associated An Investigation of *Bartonella* spp., *Rickettsia typhi*, and Seoul Hantavirus in Rats (*Rattus* spp.) from an Inner-City Neighborhood o Is Pathogen Presence a Refl and Local Rat Population **PREVALENCE AND**

Chelsea G. Himsworth^{1,2} Ying Bai,³ Michael Y. Kosoy,³ H Robbin Lindsay,⁴ Julie Bidulka,² Patrick Tang,^{5,6} Clair

Abstract

Urban Norway and black rats (*Rattus norvegicus* and *Rattus rat* pathogens. Many of these pathogens, including *Rickettsia typhi*, *Ba* are thought to be endemic in rat populations worldwide; however, p to be absent in certain rat populations. Rats (*Rattus* spp.) from Canada, were tested for exposure to and/or infection with SEOV an as *Bartonella* spp. (using culture and sequencing). Approximately *Bartonella tribocorum*, which demonstrated significant geographic was associated with both season and sexual maturity. Saroraectivity

Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 51(3), 2015, pp. 58 © Wildlife Disease Association

PREVALENCE AND CHARACTERISTICS OF ESCHERICHIA COLI AND SALMONELLA SPP. IN THE FECES OF WILD URBAN NORWAY AND BLACK RATS (RATTUS NORVEGICUS AND RATTUS RATTUS) FROM AN INNER-CITY NEIGHBORHOOD OF VANCOUVER, CANADA

Chelsea G. Himsworth,^{1,2,7} Erin Zabek,² Andrea Desruisseau,³ E. Jane Parmley,³ Richard Reid-Smith,³ Claire M. Jardine,⁴ Patrick Tang,^{5,6} and David M. Patrick¹

¹ School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, 2206 E Mall, Vancouver, British Columbia 129, Canada

² Animal Health Centre, British Columbia Ministry of Agriculture, 1767 Angus Campbell Road, Abbotsford, British Columbia V3G 2M3, Canada

³ Laboratory for Foodborne Zoonoses, Public Health Agency of Canada, 160 Research Lane, Guelph, Ontario N1G Canada

⁴ Department of Pathobiology and Canadian Wildlife Health Cooperative, University of Guelph, 50 Stone Road, Gue Ontario N1G 2W1, Canada

⁵ British Columbia Centre for Disease Control, 655 W 12th Avenue, Vancouver, British Columbia V5Z 4R4, Canad ⁶ Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of British Columbia, 2211 Westbrook Mall, Vancou British Columbia V6T 2B5, Canada

Corresponding author (email: Chelsea.Himsworth@gov.bc.ca)







Schools and rats

Does California pesticide legislation matter?


California is full of crazies! That will never happen here!





- Healthy Schools Act
- Pyrethroid label changes
- Fipronel



Who is responsible for rodenticide exposure to wildlife in California?

2nd gen legal
2nd gen illegal
2nd-ary exposure

R



2nd gen legal
2nd gen illegal
2nd-ary exposure

T.C.



Reported Use of Rodenticide and Second Generation Anticoagulant Rodenticide (SGAR) by Local Vector Control Agencies, 2018-19*

Pesticide use data are from CDPR's provisional 2018-19 Public Health monthly pesticide use reports provided to CDPH for review

County	Agency	Approx. Total lbs of Rodenticide Products Used (% SGAR)	
		2018	2019
Alameda	Alameda County Vector Control District	1089 (99.7%)	1143 (98%)
Contra Costa	Contra Costa Mosquito and Vector Control District (MVCD)	223 (44%)	299 (66%)
Kern	Kern MVCD	197 (26%)	157 (14%)
Los Angeles	City of Long Beach	35 (59%)	82 (0%)
Orange	Orange County MVCD	5 (100%)	8 (100%)
Riverside	Northwest MVCD	114 (100%)	110 (100%)
Sacramento/Yolo	Sacramento-Yolo MVCD	2 (100%)	3 (100%)
San Mateo	San Mateo County MVCD	149 (88%)	274 (27%)
San Francisco	SF Environmental Health Dept.	654 (0%)	572 (0%)
TOTAL		2468 (61%)	2649 (58%)





California's wildlife, exposure and research updates







Investigating the pathways of rodenticide and the sources of exposure in urban systems in Southern California

Agriculture and Natural Resources







Gaining a better understanding of rodenticide pathways to help inform pest management professional about choices to reduce potential of non-target animal poisoning at point of application











Can above ground baiting reduce the risk of exposure?

- Rattus rattus
- Scurius niger
- Felis catus
- Didelphis virginiana
- Procyon lotor
- Canis latrans
- Lynx rufus
- Mephitis mephitis
- Neotoma spp.
- Peromyscus spp.
- Otospermophilus beecheyi
- Sylvilagus audubonii
- Lepus californicus.



© Niamh Quinn UCANR





Rodent and Wildlife Detections

© Niamh Quinn UCANR

Rodent Behavior

 Discovery of bait stations and entry to bait station is highly variable among sites but it can be significantly delayed.





Species detected around bait stations



Species (excluding rats) detected around bait stations









Non target species and access to bait

Non target species and access to bait



Non target species and access to bait







© Niamh Quinn UCANR

Primary exposure to nontarget wildlife from legal applications of rodenticides for structural pest control is unlikely to be a major route of exposure



Exposed nontarget prey of carnivores are unlikely to be a major pathway of exposure





National Pest Management Association **Our Mission is Your Protection**

© Niamh Quinn UCANR

Primary exposure may be mitigated by applying rodenticide above and off the ground

Rats respond to bait depletion





- Bait stations without bait can't kill rats
- Bait should be monitored closely
- Population recovery allows for opportunity for more intoxicated rats
 - More intoxicated nontargets



Yard type matters!

The presence of nontarget wildlife can be predicted by yard type and distance to green space

© Niamh Quinn UCANR



Figuring out neophobia is key to success

Rats entered only 59-70% of the bait stations they visited









UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Agriculture and Natural Resources

How are urban carnivores getting exposed?





Methods





 Short-term diet (single– few meals)
Conventional stomach contents analysis
Sort and identify remains visually with reference collection
Identify by hair structure

Molecular (DNA) analyses (with R. Walter - CSUF) Homogenize stomach contents Extract prey DNA PCR screen using primers of *Felis, Rattus, Mus* and 13 native genera



- As of today, we have necropsied >550 coyotes
 - Federal, State, County Agencies
 - Cities
 - Pest management professionals








Exposure from legal applications of SGARs

40%



Other potential sources of SGARs[©] Niamh Quinn UCANR

40%





SGAR

FGAR





© Niamh Quinn UCANR

Detection of coumatetraly is evidence of illegal applications of rodenticides in Southern California



Illegal Rodenticide

Roz RAT Poisa





Is exposure to urban coyotes occurring from legal applications of anticoagulant rodenticide by pest management professionals? However, even if this is true, exposure may not be having any population level impact on coyotes in urban southern California (or other predators and birds of prey)









Researching the sublethal impacts of rodenticide exposure on urban coyotes

> **UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA** Agriculture and Natural Resources

Are we learning anything new about AR exposure?

AR Compound	Compound	Frequency	Residue Concentration (ppb)			
	#	%	Mean ± SD	Median	Min – Max	
SGAR						
Bromadiolone	335	95	611.61 ± 571.09	464	0 – 2776	
Brodifacoum	294	83	99.92 ± 168.24	31	0-1269	
Difethialone	257	73	144.53 ± 258.40	46	0-1653	
Difenacoum	4	1	0.06 ± 0.56	0	0-6	
FGAR						
Coumatetralyl	3	1	0.15 ± 2.53	0	0 - 47	
Diphacinone	229	65	71.63 ± 158.74	16	0 - 1752	
Chlorophacinone	23	7	5.09 ± 30.63	0	0-414	
Warfarin	23	7	0.25 ± 1.20	0	0-11	

More rodenticide.....





JURY **IS STILL** OUT

exposu

There i no apparent relation hip between body condition and AR









California Department of Pesticide Regulation



BMPs generated from workshop

- Improved ID, record taking, electronic monitoring
 - Restrictions on number of days bait can be applied
 - Several iterations of "pulse baiting"
- Education of consumers of pest management
- Better PMP education
- Mitigation in sensitive areas
 - Restrict all applications of SGARs in environmentally sensitive environments
 - Avoid placing stations in areas/habitats where nontargets are present
 - Place bait stations above ground to limit nontargets
 - Place stations <100ft from structures, but also consider structures that border open space to be sensitive areas
 - Limit SGAR application to specific situations
 - Encourage trapping only in certain areas



Reducing the amount of rodents exposed to ARs, not reducing the amount of Ars applied

Proposed Action

- Reducing the proportion of rats that are exposed to ARs on the front end
 - Trapping first, ARs subsequently
 - Trapping in conjunction with use of ARs
 - Acute rodenticide first, ARs subsequently





How are we going to achieve this....

Very challenging to develop.....

Complex telemetry system

- Testing how quick it will take to kill approx. 10 rats with
- Second generation anticoagulant only
- Trapping only
- Mixed management
 - Trapping
 - Rodenticide













Preliminary results



Roof rat movements

• Data from a school site and large HOA

SCREC: Collared Rat Movement



Newport Harbor High School: Collared Rat Movement



Indirect research-Coyote collaring and population density estimates

Are ARs impacting urban coyote densities











Quality and Productivity Commission

An assessment of secondary toxicity risk for 0.005% diphacinone treated grain via three application strategies for CA ground squirrels

VPCRAC



© Niamh Quinn UCANR

Number of radiotransmittered California ground squirrel carcasses that were located belowground, aboveground, and the proportion located belowground at rangeland locations in central California during summer and autumn, 2018–2019.



	Belowground				Adjusted proportion
Summer	19	3	0.86	0	0.86
Autumn	23	1	0.96	5	0.79
Comp	42	4	0.91	5	0.82



An assessment of secondary impacts of anticoagulant rodenticides on predators

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Agriculture and Natural Resources

VPCRAC Vertebrate Pest Control Research Advisory Committee

• Liver tissue collected from dead coyotes

- - 44 of 83 exhibiting exposure (53%); lower than urban studies
- - 63 of 82 with concentrations \leq 100 ppb (77%)
- - 27 were exposed to multiple ARs (max 4)

	Brod	Brom	Difeth	Diph	Chloro	SGAR	FGAR	Total
Number	22	29	10	25	8	33	29	44
Max ppb	613	657	316	238	295	795	295	953
Ave ppb	18	45	9	16	10	72	26	98

Results—Exposure

What lessons can be learned from California?





Stab in the dark legislation and regulations do not work!



Rats are likely a major part of the rodenticide pathway



The more we learn about rat management, the more I realize we know so little The data needed to make better decisions and future mitigation measures are not available



lf you don't know how something is broken, can you fix it?





edd

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY FULLERTON













UCDAVIS UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

AcKenzie,

SN

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Agriculture and Natural Resources

Questions

Dr. Niamh Quinn Human-Wildlife Interactions Advisor mquinn@ucanr.edu 949-301-9182 ext 1004 @SCUWMCouncil @cosmopolitancoyotes www.ucSCURRI.com